**--Review of Proposal for 2022 Joint Research Project between Taiwan Tech and CTU--**

■ This review form is used to grade and review a project proposal for joint research between National Taiwan University of Science and Technology (Taiwan Tech) and Czech Technical University in Prague (CTU).

■ Please complete the review by **August 30, 2022**, and then submit it to the contact windows, respectively: --- Taiwan Tech -- --- CTU ---

Miss Wei-Fang Fu, Mr. Karel Zebrakovsky

TEL: +886-2-2737-6786, tel. 420-737515175

E-mail:b10207@mail.ntust.edu.tw, karel.zebrakovsky@cvut.cz

Service-center of Project Affairs (ScPA) of ORD Dept. of Science and Res., CTU Rectorate

■ Project information:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Project number：** |  | |
| **Project title：** |  | |
| **Principal Investigator of NTUST research group** | **Name：** |  |
| **Division/Department：** |  |
| **Principal Investigator of CUT research group** | **Name：** |  |
| **Division/Department：** |  |

■ Please grade each category in the table. A weight is assigned to each category. Please indicate your score before and after the weighting. The perfect score is 10. For reference of your review process and consistency with other reviewers, an appendix that outlines the review criteria is attached.

**(Range of raw score: Excellent (9-10) , Outstanding (7-8) , Good(5-6) , Fair(3-4) , Poor(1-2) )**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Category** | **Raw score** | **Weighting** | **Weighted score** |
| **1** | **Originality and scientific/technological merits** |  | **20%** |  |
| **2** | **Quality of project and appropriateness of method** |  | **20%** |  |
| **3** | **Expected achievement and contribution** |  | **20%** |  |
| **4** | **Qualification of research team** |  | **40%** |  |
| **Total score (sum of weighted scores)** | | | |  |

■ Reviewer’s Comments (in the blank field below, or additional page, if necessary).

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**------ Appendix: Review Criteria ------**

**Category 1) Originality and scientific/technological merits:** How important is the proposed research project to advancing knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields? How important is it to developing new technologies within its own field or across different fields? To what extent does the proposed activity suggest and explore creative and original concepts?

**Category 2) Quality of project and appropriateness of method:** How well conceived and organized is the proposed research project? Does the proposal describe the goal and expected problems clearly? Are the proposed methods appropriate for solving the potential (or even existing) problems?

**Category 3) Expected achievement and contribution:** Will the proposed research advance understanding or development of issues pertaining to various fields of science and engineering? Will it generate results that enhance the academic status of NTUST and UT (for example, by publishing papers in high impact-factor journals)? Will it help in advancing the industry of Japan and/or Taiwan?

**Category 4) Qualification of research team:** Does the joint research team, combined with relevant NTUST/UT staff expertise, possess the breadth and depth of skill/knowledge to successfully perform the proposed research and drive progress as outlined in the proposal? Will the research team commit themselves to carry out this project?

**Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**